## Smarter Approach to Nuclear Expenditures (SANE) Act of 2012 Table of reductions and projected savings over 10 years

|  | (\$ Billion) |
|--|--------------|
| Current nuclear submarines, reduce fleet to 8 <sup>1,2</sup>               | 3            |
| New submarine, delay to 2023 and purchase 8 <sup>3</sup>                   | 17           |
| SLBMs, reduce to 250 <sup>4</sup>  | 0.8          |
| Subtotal: Submarines & related programs                                    | 21           |
| Current (B-2 and B-52) bombers, cancel nuclear mission <sup>7</sup>        | 17           |
| Delay developing new bomber to 2023 <sup>5</sup>                           | 18           |
| F-35 Joint Strike Fighter, cancel nuclear mission <sup>6</sup>             | 0.3          |
| B61 gravity bomb Life Extension Program, cancel <sup>4</sup>               | 4            |
| Subtotal: Aircraft & related programs                                      | 39           |
| W78 warhead Life Extension Program, cancel <sup>4</sup>                    | 5            |
| New ICBM, cancel   | *            |
| ICBMs, reduce to 200 <sup>7</sup>  | 6            |
| Subtotal: Missiles & related programs                                      | 24           |
| Medium Extended Air Defense System, cancel <sup>9</sup>                    | 13           |
| Subtotal: Missile defense  | 13           |
| Chemical Metallurgy and Research Replacement Facility, cancel <sup>8</sup> | 5            |
| Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility, cancel <sup>9</sup>                 | 2            |
| Uranium Processing Facility (HEU), cancel <sup>10</sup>                    | 8            |
| Subtotal: DOE NNSA facilities  | 15           |
| TOTAL  | ~100         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Navy SSBN(X) Ballistic Missile Submarine Program: Background and Issues for Congress, Congressional Research Service, April 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Debt, Deficits, and Defense, Sustainable Defense Task Force, June 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> An Analysis of the Navy's Fiscal Year 2012 Shipbuilding Plan, Congressional Budget Office, June 2011; updated to account for the January 2012 Department of Defense's announcement to delay the new sub by 2 years

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FY 2012 Department of Defense Budget Request to Congress

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Letter from Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta to Senator John McCain and Lindsay Graham on the economic effects of sequestration, http://mccain.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=PressOffice.PressReleases&ContentRecord\_id=a4074315-fd3e-2e65-2330-62b95da3b0e9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Making the JSF Nuke Capable Will Cost 339 Million, Nukes of Hazard, April 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Triad, Dyad or Monad?, Air Force Association Mitchell Institute for Airpower Studies, December 2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Nuclear REALITY CHECK\$ The U.S. Department of Energy's Most Dangerous Budget-Busting Projects, Alliance for Nuclear Accountability, April 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Reducing the Deficit: Spending and Revenue Options, Congressional Budget Office, March 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Spending Less, Spending Smarter: Recommendations for National Security Savings FY 2012 to FY 2021, Project on Government Oversight & Taxpayers for Common Sense, July 21, 2011

<sup>\* 10</sup> year savings estimates have not been determined. Beyond the 10 year period, the estimated savings is \$20 billion.