

## Council on International Relations (CIR) - July 13, 2011

"Should the U.S. Rely on Nuclear Power for its Future Energy Needs?"

Greg Mello's presentation: "Nuclear Power: In Terminal Decline, But Still Very Dangerous and Distracting"

### References:

1. Matthew Bunn, "Mostly getting nuclear safety at the IAEA – but missing nuclear security," June 21, 2011, [http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/power/2011/06/21/mostly-getting-nuclear-safety-at-the-iaea-%E2%80%93-but-missing-nuclear-security/?utm\\_medium=social&utm\\_source=twitter&utm\\_campaign=socialflow?](http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/power/2011/06/21/mostly-getting-nuclear-safety-at-the-iaea-%E2%80%93-but-missing-nuclear-security/?utm_medium=social&utm_source=twitter&utm_campaign=socialflow?)
2. "Exelon's Rowe says gas prices may slow new reactors," *Chicago Daily Herald*, September 10, 2010 (updated March 1, 2011), <http://saxo.dailyherald.com/article/20100910/Business/309109888/>, also <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2010/10/12/206854/exelon-john-rowe-nuclear-renaissance-constellation-energy/>.
3. Mark Cooper, *Policy Challenges of Nuclear Reactor Construction, Cost Escalation and Crowding Out Alternatives*, September 2010, [http://www.vermontlaw.edu/Documents/IEE/20100909\\_cooperStudy.pdf](http://www.vermontlaw.edu/Documents/IEE/20100909_cooperStudy.pdf).
4. Jeff Donn, "AP study: U.S. nuclear regulators weaken safety rules," June 19, 2011, <http://www.pjstar.com/free/x1781765020/AP-study-U-S-nuclear-regulators-weaken-safety-rules> and with additional related references at <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2011/06/20/248657/ap-nuclear-regulators-weaken-safety-rules/>.
5. Bent Flyvbjerg, "Design by Deception, The Politics of Megaproject Approval," *Harvard Design Magazine*, Spring/Summer 2005, <http://flyvbjerg.plan.aau.dk/HARVARDDESIGN63PRINT.pdf><http://flyvbjerg.plan.aau.dk/HARVARDDESIGN63PRINT.pdf>.
6. Michael Grunwald, "Why No Nukes? The Real Cost of U.S. Nuclear Power," *Time*, March 25, 2011, <http://www.time.com/time/printout/0,8816,2059453,00.html>.
7. Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility, et.al., *Why a Future for the Nuclear Industry is Risky*, January 2007, [http://www.iccr.org/publications/risky\\_Jan07.pdf](http://www.iccr.org/publications/risky_Jan07.pdf).
8. Mark Z. Jacobsen, "Review of Solutions to Global Warming, Air Pollution, and Energy Security," *Energy and Environmental Science* 2009, 2, pp. 148-173. Also See also "Supplementary Information Appendix," and "Briefing to Senator Jeff Bingaman, October 8, 2008." <http://www.stanford.edu/group/efmh/jacobson/Articles/I/revsolglobwarmairpol.htm>.
9. Doug Koplow, *Nuclear Power: Still Not Viable Without Subsidies*, Union of Concerned Scientists, February 2011, [http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear\\_power/nuclear\\_subsidies\\_report.pdf](http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/nuclear_subsidies_report.pdf).
10. Jonathan Koomey and Nate Hultman, June 24, 2011, "Was the Three Mile Island accident in 1979 the main cause of US nuclear power's woes?" <http://www.koomey.com/post/6868835852> and with additional related references at <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2011/06/25/244122/three-mile-island-accident-nuclear-power/>.

11. Arjun Makhijani and Michele Boyd, "Small Modular Reactors: No Solution for the Cost, Safety, and Waste Problems of Nuclear Power," Institute for Energy and Environmental Research (IEER) and Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR), September 2010, [www.ieer.org/fctsheets/small-modular-reactors2010.html](http://www.ieer.org/fctsheets/small-modular-reactors2010.html).
12. Charles Perrow, *Normal Accidents: Living with High-Risk Technologies*, 1984, 1999, Princeton. <http://www.amazon.com/Normal-Accidents-Living-High-Risk-Technologies/dp/0691004129>.
13. Joe Romm, "The Nukes of Hazard," March 22, 2011, <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2011/03/22/207730/the-nukes-of-hazard-2/>.
14. Joe Romm, "Nuclear Bombshell: \$26 Billion cost — \$10,800 per kilowatt! — killed Ontario nuclear bid," July 15, 2009, <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2009/07/15/204378/nuclear-power-plant-cost-bombshell-ontario/>.
15. Joe Romm, "GOP wants 100 new nukes by 2030 while 'Areva has acknowledged that the cost of a new reactor today would be as much as 6 billion euros, or \$8 billion, double the price offered to the Finns,'" May 29, 2009, <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2009/05/29/204171/gop-wants-100-new-nukes-by-2030-while-areva-has-acknowledged-that-the-cost-of-a-new-reactor-today-would-be-as-much-as-6-billion-euros-or-8-billion-double-the-price-offered-to-the-finns/>.
16. Joe Romm, "FERC chair on new nuclear and coal plants: 'We may not need any, ever,'" April 22, 2009, <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2009/04/22/203981/ferc-chair-wellinghoff-nonew-nuclear-and-coal-plants/>.
17. Joe Romm, "Energy efficiency is THE core climate solution, Part 1: The biggest low-carbon resource by far," July 23, 2008, <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2008/07/23/202908/energy-efficiency-is-the-core-climate-solution-part-1-the-biggest-low-carbon-resource-by-far/>.
18. Joe Romm, *The Self-Limiting Future of Nuclear Power*, Center for American Progress, June 2, 2008, [http://www.americanprogressaction.org/issues/2008/pdf/nuclear\\_report.pdf](http://www.americanprogressaction.org/issues/2008/pdf/nuclear_report.pdf).
19. Mycle Schneider, Antony Froggatt, and Steve Thomas, *The World Nuclear Industry Status Report: 2010–2011: Nuclear Power in a Post-Fukushima World*, [http://www.worldwatch.org/system/files/WorldNuclearIndustryStatusReport2011\\_%20FINAL.pdf](http://www.worldwatch.org/system/files/WorldNuclearIndustryStatusReport2011_%20FINAL.pdf).
20. Craig Severance, "Business Risks and Costs of New Nuclear Power," January 5, 2009, <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2009/01/05/202859/study-cost-risks-new-nuclear-power-plants/>.
21. Alexey Yablokov, Vassily Nesterenko, and Alexey Nesterenko, *Chernobyl: Consequences of the Catastrophe for People and the Environment*, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 1181, 2009, <http://books.google.com/books/about/Chernobyl.html?id=g34tNIYOB3AC>.