

Subject: FOIA 20-00240-KD (and 22-00181-KD)
From: Greg Mello <gmello@lasg.org>
Date: 8/12/2022, 17:03
To: kristen.duran@nnsa.doe.gov
CC: twm@lasg.org

Dear Ms. Duran (Kristen) --

Thank you for sending LA-UR-22-21424, the "Los Alamos National Laboratory 2021 Campus Master Plan." We appreciate your work on this.

While helpful to a very limited degree, this document is not responsive to either of the subject requests.

Neither request has resulted in any responsive documents to date.

First, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), on September 17, 2020, we requested:

1. Any and all versions of Los Alamos National Laboratory's site plans created since August 8, 2019, and
2. Any and all documents functionally equivalent to site plans in whole or in part, or supporting these site plans.

We requested expedited processing of this FOIA request as provided by 10 CFR Sec. 1004.5(d)(6).

This request was assigned the NNSA number FOIA 20-00240-KD.

The document you sent was created after the date of this request, and so cannot be a responsive document for that reason alone.

We have received no responsive documents to that request.

Second, on April 22, 2022, we requested under FOIA:

1. Any and all versions of Los Alamos National Laboratory's (LANL's) site plans, documents functionally equivalent to site plans or supporting these site plans created since August 8, 2019.
2. The LANL "Campus Master Plan" referred to in the "NNSA FY2021 Performance Evaluation Summary" (https://lasg.org/documents/PEPs_PERs/FY2021_Triad_PES.pdf), wherein it states: "[Triad National Security, LLC] experienced several key real estate achievements (delivery of first campus master plan in 20 years...)"

This request was assigned the NNSA number FOIA 22-00181-KD. Again we requested expedited processing of this FOIA request as provided by 10 CFR Sec. 1004.5(d)(6).

Discussion

To judge by its title, LA-UR-22-21424 would be -- but is not -- responsive to the second item in FOIA 22-00181-KD. This requires a brief explanation.

There is, literally, no plan in LA-UR-22-21424. We have multiple LANL site plans on file, and like all site plans, they contain maps and plans of proposed actions, site constraints, and so on. LA-UR-22-21424 has none of this. It reads as an *introduction* to the real Campus Master Plan (CMP), which is a different document. This document did not need redaction because, as is obvious from the text, redactions were done when LA-UR-22-21424 was created. It is unlikely that the real CMP could be released in its entirety, as there would be at least a few security-related considerations leading to redactions as Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information (UCNI).

On multiple pages, LA-UR-22-21424 refers to a "Campus Master Plan" or "CMP" *as a separate document*. Here are some examples:

p. 1-1: "During the next three decades, the CMP reveals more than 4 million gross square feet of new space in these areas."

There is nothing in this document that reveals this.

p. 3-1: "The CMP establishes a mission-driven vision for future growth and development of the LANL site and creates a roadmap for achieving success."

There is no such "roadmap" in this document.

p. 3-1: "Drawing from current data provided by the Laboratory's business systems and multiple layers of condition analyses in GIS (Geographic Information System), the CMP enables an institutionally integrated approach to evaluating planning initiatives

with development opportunities and constraints. By overlaying mission priorities and planning principles, the CMP offers a data-driven framework analysis for project development in near-, mid-, and long-term horizons. In addition to the application of new digital technology, the CMP presents the use of new construction tools and techniques including modular and prefabricated facilities. These tools and techniques have already been tested at the Laboratory, and new and expanded applications are underway."

There are no GIS-enabled overlays or any other "new digital technologies" in this document, or any "data-driven framework analysis for project development."

p. 3-3: "The CMP depicts four major planning areas based on aggregated capabilities and physical location: the Core Area, the Pajarito Corridor (East and West), the National Energetic and Engineering Weapons Campus (NEEWC), and the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE). Under Balance of Site, the CMP also addresses planning projects, site context, and considerations for the remaining portions of the entire site. The CMP adopts a vision for near-, mid-, and long-term planning horizons and identifies facility and infrastructure improvements integral to that vision. For each of the planning areas, the CMP depicts demolition and new construction for each of three timeframes: near term (within the next 10 years), midterm (10 to 20 years), and long term (beyond 20 years)."

This document does not "depict" "demolition and new construction for each of three timeframes," or depict anything else mentioned. The CMP apparently "identifies facility and infrastructure improvements integral to that vision" as such a document would require, but that is not in this document.

p. 4-2: "The CMP projects approximately 2,400,000 gross square feet of new construction in the near term (next 10 years), coupled with over one million square feet in demolition of obsolete or excess facilities. Particular attention has been focused on eliminating high-risk, legacy-contaminated facilities; demolition also provides space for new construction on previously disturbed land."

This document provides no basis for these numbers, which are evidently projected in the real CMP, as stated in this text.

p. 4-3: "The CMP presents a facilities and infrastructure plan that details demolition, recapitalization, and new construction over the near, mid, and long terms."

This document contains no such plan or plans.

I think these examples, taken from the first few pages of this document, will suffice to make the point. The real CMP is another document and it has not been provided.

We appreciate receiving LA-UR-22-21424 but at this point we have not received any documents responsive to either FOIA requests 20-00240-KD or 22-00181-KD.

Thank you for your effort and attention,

Greg Mello

--

Greg Mello
[Los Alamos Study Group](#)
 2901 Summit Place NE
 Albuquerque, NM 87106
 505-265-1200 office
 505-577-8563 cell

To subscribe to our New Mexico Activist Leaders listserve [send a blank email here](#). To unsubscribe [send a blank email here](#).
 To subscribe to our Main listserve (less frequent) [send a blank email here](#). To unsubscribe [send a blank email here](#).
 Our blog: [Remember your Humanity](#). Twitter: [@TrishABQ](#). We have shut down our Facebook page.